

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,024	07/28/2003	Peter G. Webb	10003513-2	7639
75	90 01/27/2006		EXAM	INER
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			SHIBUYA, MARK LANCE	
Legal Departme Intellectual Prop	ent, DL429 perty Administration			
P. O. Box 7599			1639	
Loveland, CO 80537-0599			DATE MAILED: 01/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/629,024	WEBB, PETER G.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Mark L. Shibuya	1639
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHICHEV - Extensions or after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to repair Any reply records	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we obly within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, be evived by the Office later than three months after the mailing at term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)☐ This 3)☐ Sinc	oonsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Ju</u> action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This e this application is in condition for allowar ed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of	f Claims		
4a) C 5)	n(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application. If the above claim(s) is/are withdraven(s) is/are allowed. In(s) is/are rejected. In(s) is/are objected to. In(s) 1-34 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.	
Application P	apers		
10)∏ The c Appli Repla	specification is objected to by the Examine drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptant may not request that any objection to the exament drawing sheet(s) including the correctional or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under	· 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)□ All 1.□ 2.□ 3.□	Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
	eferences Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
3) Information	raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) //Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-34 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-16, drawn to a method of fabricating an array with multiple sets of neighboring features, classifiable in class 435, subclass DIG 49.
 - II. Claims 17-28 and 32, drawn to an apparatus for fabricating an array, classifiable in class 435, subclass DIG 44.
 - III. Claims 29-31 and 33-34, drawn to a computer program product for use with an apparatus, classifiable in class 700, subclass 1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The Inventions of Group I and the Inventions of Groups II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus, and the computer program product, of Groups II and III, can be used for printing ink on paper, which is a different from the method of making an array with multiple sets of neighboring features.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,024 Page 3

Art Unit: 1639

Inventions of II and III are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the apparatus of Group II may deposit two neighboring sets of features with a distance between them that is less than the average distance between features within the sets, unlike the computer product of Group III; or because apparatus may possess novelty in the mechanics of claimed apparatus of Group II. The subcombination has separate utility such as controlling the pulse jets to deposit drops that run together, as in coating an object.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each of Groups I-III is not required for each of the other Groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Election/Restrictions

3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: A specific feature.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 1-34 are generic.

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: A specific biopolymer and a consonant set of biomonomer, if appropriate to the election of species required in above paragraph 3.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-34 are generic.

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: A specific number of different dispensers.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-34 are generic.

6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: A specific number of features.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-34 are generic.

Art Unit: 1639

7. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 5

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1639

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark L. Shibuya whose telephone number is (571) 272-0806. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang can be reached on (571) 272-0811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark L. Shibuya

Page 6

Examiner Art Unit 1639

ms